

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/680,986 10/08/2003		Derek Owen	60,130-1891;03MRA0488	6481	
26096 7:	590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			SICONOLFI, ROBERT		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM	M, MI 48009		3683		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					0			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/680,98	36	OWEN ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Robert A.		3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	ess			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no ev ply within the stat I will apply and w te, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 (	October 200	4.					
•		is action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the m	erits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) l	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction is objected to by the E	•	=					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee ority docum au (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3) ·	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment filed on 10/19/04 has been received.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/54157.

WO 99/54157 discloses a crimped collar. See figure 7 and pages 10 and 11 of the specification.

WO 99/54157 does not disclose crimping to form crimped areas at opposed locations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the collar crimped at 4 locations as such is merely a design choice.

Regarding claim 2, 4, 5, 9-11, and 20-22, WO 99/54157 is relied upon as above. WO 99/54157 does not disclose various design details claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the various design details claimed as such is merely a design choice. These details have a insignificant impact on the functioning of the device.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986

Art Unit: 3683

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants appear to have argued embodiments of WO 99/54157 that were not used as a basis for rejection. The examiner used Figure 7 which clearly contains a pinched area and not the engagement portions that the applicant has argued. Therefore, the arguments are irrelevant to the rejection as made.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert *X*. Sico Examiner

Art Unit 3683